	Case 5:11-cv-03707-RMW Document 24 Filed 03/28/12 Page 1 of 3	
1		
2		
3		
4	EÒËZ(ŠÒÖ (ÄÄHED) EF CE	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	THEODORE SHOVE,  ) No. C 11-3707 RMW (PR)	
13	Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME	
14	V. )	
15	WARDEN M. MARTEL, et al.,	
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	Defendants. )	
18	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> , filed a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42	
19	U.S.C. § 1983 seeking injunctive and declaratory relief for alleged civil rights violations. On	
20	September 23, 2011, the court dismissed plaintiff's complaint on grounds of abstention. Plaintiff	
21	filed a timely motion for rehearing, which this court construed as a motion for reconsideration.	
22	To assist the court in determining whether reconsideration was warranted, on November 17,	
23	2011, plaintiff was ordered to file within thirty days, one complete copy of his state habeas	
24	petition, case number S193252, filed in the California Supreme Court. Plaintiff was warned that	
25	failure to comply would result in the denial of his motion for reconsideration. More than thirty	
26	days have passed, and plaintiff has not complied with the court's instruction.	
27	However, on January 3, 2012, plaintiff filed a motion to compel the status of the case.	
28	The motion is granted. In that motion, plaintiff averred under penalty of perjury that he had not	
	Order Granting Extension of Time G:\PRO-SE\SJ.Rmw\CR.11\Shove707pfileeot.wpd	

## Case 5:11-cv-03707-RMW Document 24 Filed 03/28/12 Page 2 of 3

received any orders from the court addressing his motion for reconsideration. Assuming that plaintiff did not receive the court's November 17, 2011 order, the court will grant plaintiff <u>sua sponte</u> an extension of time to comply.

Within **thirty days** of the filing date of this order, plaintiff must file one complete copy of his petition filed in the California Supreme Court, case number S193252. **Failure to comply with this court order will result in the denial of plaintiff's motion for reconsideration.** The court will defer ruling on plaintiff's motion for reconsideration upon receipt of the requested documents.

The clerk shall send plaintiff a copy of the court's November 17, 2011 order, as well as a copy of the docket sheet. This order terminates docket number 19.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: #ED #FG

Konald M. Whyte RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THEODORE SHOVE et al,	Case Number: CV11-03707 RMW
Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
v.	
M. MARTEL et al,	
Defendant.	/

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on March 28, 2012, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Theodore Shove G11092 San Quentin State Prison San Quentin, CA 94974

Dated: March 28, 2012

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Jackie Lynn Garcia, Deputy Clerk